

# Oldfield Park Junior School Pupil Premium Statement 2018-2019





insniring excellence achiering together

### Rationale

Achieving excellence for all is paramount at OPJS. A key group and priority at OPJS is the achievement and progress of children entitled to Pupil Premium. A comprehensive review of data, whereby gaps in data between PP and Non PP were identified, coupled with a review was undertaken in July 2018. Consequently, the PPG provision has been altered building upon good practice and new initiatives put in place to drive improvement and narrow the gap. A number of pupils have multiple vulnerabilities and, consequently, receive a universal as well as a targeted offer from the PP funding. It is the aim of this plan to ensure that all PP pupils realise their potential through the targeted and effective use of PP funding.

Universal Offer: Librarian (weekly 45 minute session), subsidised residentials, subsidised trips, subsidised events, attendance focus and cookery opportunities.

Targeted Offer: Reduced class sizes in Y6, TA support, Family Cookery and mentoring.

	2017 Summary Information										
Year	2017-2018	Total PP budget	£68,900 (based on 53 pupils)	Date of most recent PP Review	7/7/18						
Total number of pupils	259 (as at 25/9/17) (18% PPG)	No. of pupils eligible for PP	35 FSM 14 ever 6 1 LAC 3 Adopted from Care	Date for next PP Strategy Review	Undertaken at assessment points throughout year						

	2018/19 Summary Information										
Year	2018-2019	Total PP budget	£72,600 (based on 55 pupils) £5,700 (based on 3 children adopted/in care) = £78,300	Date of most recent PP Review	15/3/19						
Total number of pupils	258 (as at 26/4/19) 58	No. of pupils eligible for PP	42 FSM 13 Ever 6 3 Adopted from Care	Date for next PP Strategy Review	End of Term 5						



### Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)

**In-school barriers** (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)

Ensuring that all teaching and non-teaching staff are fully aware of vulnerable groups and have the highest expectations for all.

Significant gap between the disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged children within the school and related expectations.

Social engagement in aspects of learning coupled with parental involvement.

Reading comprehension skills that impacts upon writing.

Ensuring that effective and challenging feedback is provided to drive further improvement.

Consistent quality first teaching.

#### **External barriers** (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)

Specific cases of low attendance rates of PP children.

Support for reading and homework

Family support for specific cases

Parental engagement and attitude towards school and learning

Social issues in the community

### Oldfield Park Junior School



	Outcomes	Sı	uccess Criteri	ia	Review			
	Outcomes	50	uccess Criteri	la	Autumn	Spring	Summer	
	Attainment gap between PP and non PP further narrowed across all year groups in reading, writing and maths.	Asses % gaps narr	in Sept '18 using results ssment Cycle follo owed on average ween PP and non	owed to 10% gap	Reading Year 3 -3.1% Year 4 +4.4% Year 5 +3.3% Year 6 +21.3%  Writing Year 3 +20.4%	Reading Year 3 +12.4% Year 4 +4.4% Year 5 +12.3% Year 6 -4.6%  Writing Year 3 +30.4%	Reading Year 3 +8.3% Year 4 -1.1% Year 5 +10.1% Year 6 -4.6%  Writing Year 3 +22.4%	
A			6 :	6	Year 4 +0.4% Year 5 +5% Year 6 +3.1%	Year 4 +7.4% Year 5 -1.6% Year 6 +3.1%	Year 4 +12.2% Year 5 -11.2% Year 6 +3.1%	
		Autumn	Spring	Summer	Maths Year 3 -10.8% Year 4 -10% Year 5 +0.6% Year 6 +7%	Maths Year 38.8% Year 4 -25.2% Year 5 +10.2% Year 6 -1.6%	Maths Year 3 -0.4% Year 4 -17.5% Year 5 -4.4% Year 6 -1.6%	
					See attached data	See attached data	See attached data	
		4 points progress for PP children based on previous EoY result			Reading	(PP/Non-PP) Reading	(PP/Non-PP) Reading	
	PP children in year progress above expected <b>and</b> above non PP children.	Autumn	Spring		Year 3 +2.3 Year 4 +1.1 Year 5 +1.5 Year 6 +0.9	Year 3 (+2.9/+1.4) Year 4 (+2.2/+1.8) Year 5 (+1.9/+1.9) Year 6 (+3.4/+3.8)	Year 3 (+3.9/+2.6) Year 4 (+3.2/+2.8) Year 5 (+2.9/+3.2) Year 6 (+3.9/+3.8)	
В				Summer	Writing Year 3 +1.4 Year 4 +1.1 Year 5 +0.8 Year 6 +0.3	Writing Year 3 (+2.2/+1.2) Year 4 (+1.9/+2.1) Year 5 (+1.6/+1.9) Year 6 (+2.3/+3.1)	Writing Year 3 (+3.0/+2.3) Year 4 (+3.3/+3.3) Year 5 (+2.8/+3.4) Year 6 (+3.1/+4.1)	
					Maths Year 3 +1.2 Year 4 +0.7 Year 5 +0.8 Year 6 +0.4	Maths Year 3 (+1.8/+0.5) Year 4 (+1.7/+1.8) Year 5 (+1.5/+1.9) Year 6 (+1.7/+2.1)	Maths Year 3 (+3.0/+1.7) Year 4 (+3.3/+2.9) Year 5 (+2.9/+3.5) Year 6 (+3.8/+3.9)	

### Oldfield Park Junior School



С	Improved attendance for all PP children.		PP child under 9 n PP and Non PP Spring		2016-17 95.24% (AA 3.98%, UA 158%) 2017-18 93.08% (AA 4.23%, UA 2.68%) 2018-19 <b>AUTUMN</b> 22/56 (39.3%) PP children are currently under 95% - 2 of which due to holiday	20/60 (33.3%) PP children are currently under 95% - 4 of which due to holiday (3 UA, 1 AA) 1 of which due to exceptional circumstances. This shows a 6% increase of children achieving 95%+ with attendance. Overall attendance for PP children is 94.77%, whereas Non-PP children is 96.63%.	2018-19  18/58 (31%) PP children are currently under 95%. This shows a 2.3% increase of children achieving 95%+ with attendance. Overall attendance for PP children is 95.0%, whereas Non-PP children is 96.7%. This gap has narrowed by 0.16% since the previous term.
D	Increase parental engagement of targeted		ndance of PPG Pa nsultation Evenir Spring		November 2018  Parent's evening 73.2% of PP parents attended (15/56 children's parents did not attend)	March 2019  Parent's evening 78.3% of PP parents attended (13/60 children's parents did not attend)	Term 5  10 PP families joined us for our supper events (17.2%) (No parent's evenings during this term).
	children/families through activities, events and opportunities.	100% agreement sought with Home and School Agreement.  Autumn Spring Summer		l	83.6% of Pupil Premium children have completed the Home School Agreement	90% of Pupil Premium children have completed the Home School Agreement	96.6% of Pupil Premium children have completed the Home School agreement
		100% attendance of targeted families for interventions (i.e. Family Cooking Project)			We have since revised	We have since revised	10 PP families joined us for our supper events

## Oldfield Park Junior School



		Autumn	Spring	Summer	the way we deliver this, and as from Term 5, we will hold a supper evening for all PP children with parent/carers.	the way we deliver this, and as from Term 5, we will hold a supper evening for all PP children with parent/carers – dates have been set.	(17.2%)	
		All PPG children access all trips and Y4 and Y6 PP chn attend residentials			All PPG children are offered a subsidized price for any residential (£50 – Y4, £100 – Y6).	All PPG children are offered a subsidized price for any residential (£50 – Y4, £100 – Y6).	All PPG children are offered a subsidized price for any residential (£50 – Y4, £100 – Y6).	
		Autumn	Spring	Summer	Parents can choose to pay in installments throughout the year.	Parents can choose to pay in installments throughout the year.	4/15 Y6 PPG children did not attend the residential (26.7%).	
-	Coordination of PP provision across whole school to enable all aspects of plan to be fully implemented, monitored and reviewed.	Above Success Criteria met. Stakeholders held to account through PP Lead. No. of PP children at or above ARE increased. Data focus upon progress and attainment.			New PPG lead in place as of Term 3 to coordinate PP	PP Lead has reviewed statement. Data has been collected and analysed, trips	PP Lead has reviewed statement. Data has been collected and analysed, trips	
E		Autumn	Spring	Summer	provision across whole school	planned, cookery supper evenings planned and PP children spoken to.	planned, cookery supper evenings planned and PP children spoken to.	

When will you review

implementation?

Staff lead

outcome approach/cost this choice? implemented well? implemented well? implemented well?  Historical evidence of impact of smaller class sizes for through above expected progress over previous years  Three way class split in Y6 to reduce class sizes to a maximum of 24 children  A and B  Historical evidence of impact of smaller class sizes for through above expected progress over previous years  Pupil Progress Meetings  Pupil Progress Meetings  Adopt strategies from other LA schools (deemed Outstanding) with similar %PP.  PDM and CPD – AfL and feedback  PP Lead  Review at entropy year as well year but ongreyiew – december of the control of the	1. Expenditure – Academic Year 2018-2019											
sizes for through above expected progress over previous years  Three way class split in Y6 to reduce class sizes to a maximum of 24 children  sizes for through above expected progress over previous years  Pupil Progress Meetings Pupil Progress Meetings Pupil Progress Meetings OG Pupil Progress Meetings	l you review nentation?											
Evidence from Sutton Trust and EEF coupled with quality first teaching and effective feedback.  Coupled with quality first teaching and effective feedback.  (RVR)  Pupil Progression  Marking and Feedback Policies	end of financial ll as academic ngoing termly data through ress Meetings.											
A Band D. L. PP children to improve	s through Pupil s Meetings											
Total budgeted cost £45	5802											

How will you ensure it is

implemented well?

What is the evidence and rationale

for this choice?

Desired

Chosen action / approach



A and B	Years 3-5 Pupil Premium Champions targeted TA support £10,000	Adopt strategies from other LA schools (deemed Outstanding) with similar %PP.  Evidence from Sutton Trust and EEF coupled with quality first teaching and feedback.	Performance Management Pupil Progress Meetings Intervention programme in place	TA Line Manager (JB)	Pupil Progress Meetings
A, B, C and D	Targeted emotional and mentoring support for children and their families who have difficulty accessing the curriculum through Sporting Family Change (SFC) £2500 (coupled with £10k Medlock funding)	Following analysis of the needs of PP	SENCo to monitor implementation and conduct review meetings with		Termly reviews of provision
Targeted emotional and social support/development through Thrive group and 1:1 sessions as well as ensuring adequate resources in place (£2000)  Targeted emotional and mentoring support for two children who have difficulty accessing the curriculum through Bath Youth for Christ £300	children, a number of children require emotional and behaviour support through mentoring.	both Bath YFC and SFC  SENCo to undertake review of Thrive provision in conjunction with Thrive TA (SC)	SENCo (JB) Thrive Lead (SC)	through liaison with programme leads Review of assessment data on a termly basis	
	mentoring support for two children who have difficulty accessing the curriculum through Bath Youth for Christ				
			Total bu	dgeted cost	£14800

	Other Approaches										
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?						
C and D	Family Cookery project three times a year and six cookery opportunities for children (£3800)	Enhance family and parental engagement of targeted hard to reach families  Opportunities for children to experience new opportunities	Regular liaison with Cookery Lead  Record of attendance at Family  Cookery events	Cookery Lead (GW)	Ongoing review throughout the year						
D	Provide enrichment opportunities for children that includes trips, residentials, extra-curricular clubs, music tuition and chess (£7500*)	Positive impact upon children's social and emotional wellbeing to support academic progress, access to curriculum and improvement.	Regular review of PP list and provision	PP Lead (RVR)	Termly basis						
A and B	Weekly 1:1 support session for LAC children (£2500)	Acknowledgement of the challenges faced by LAC children and subsequent gap in academic attainment and progress	SENCo to monitor implementation and conduct review meetings with tutor	ЈВ	Termly review						
E	PP Lead to take Leadership and Coordination of PP	Cost neutral PP Lead to oversee PP policy	School Improvement Advisor scrutiny and network with other PP Leads (PAT Cluster) to share and develop good practice	PP Lead (RVR)	Ongoing						
A, B and C	Designated mentors for all Y6 PP children	Mentoring approach to support children out of context of classroom	Regular updates of children provided  Increased engagement	All staff	Termly review						
	£13800										
			Total Pupil Premiur	n Provision:	£74402						
*Residential al	Residential allocation per child: Y6 - £100 and Y4 £50. Clubs funded for targeted children as are school trips.										

#### 2. Additional detail and notes

Information as at September 2018:

- Y3 PPG children yet to be identified

Update – October 2018

- Y3 children identified and added to total costings
- Provision updated
- Plan taken to SIPP Committee

Update - February 2019

- PPG register updated
- Statement reviewed and RAG rated for Terms 1-2

Update – March 2019

- PPG register updated

Attainment – KS2 Data – Y6											
	Pup	ils eligible foi	r PP	Pupils	Pupils not eligible for PP			Gap between PP and Non PP			
	2016*	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018		
% achieving ARE Combined	18%	66.7%	67%	72%	71%	67%	-54%	-4.3%	0%		
% ARE in Reading	55%	83%	75%	83%	89%	86%	-28%	-6%	-11%		
% ARE in Writing	27%	76.9%	69%	79%	78%	72%	-52%	-1.1%	-3%		
% ARE in Maths	36%	83.3%	67%	79%	86%	76%	-43%	-2.7%	-9%		



Y3, Y4 and Y5 EoY Attainment – OPJS Data											
% achieving ARE or above in	Puj	Pupils eligible for PP			Pupils not eligible for PP			Gap between PP and Non PP			
reading, writing & maths	2016	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018		
Year 3 (5 chn)	20%	29.4%	0%	55%	67.4%	56%	-35%	-38%	-59%		
Year 4 (17 Chn)	46%	15.4%	24%	60%	58.8%	70%	-14%	-43.4%	-46%		
Year 5 (16 Chn)	31%	53.8%	31%	41%	70.4%	60%	-10%	-16.6%	-29%		

	2017/18 Attainment												
Yr (No.		Reading		Writing			Maths				Combined		
of chn)	PP	Non PP	Diff	PP	Non PP	Diff	PP	Non PP	Diff	PP	Non PP	Diff	
Y3 5 Chn	20%	80%	-60	20%	80%	-60%	0%	85%	-85%	0%	56%	-56%	
Y4 17 Chn	44%	82%	-38%	24%	74%	-50%	35%	74%	-39%	24%	70%	-46%	
Y5 14 Chn	54%	83%	-29%	31%	61%	-30%	46%	74%	-28%	31%	60%	-29%	
Y6 16 Chn	75%	86%	-11%	69%	71%	-2%	67%	80%	-13%	68%	66%	+2	
KS2 52 Chn	48%	83%	-35%	36%	72%	-36%	37%	78%	-41%	31%	63%	-32%	

#### 2017/18 In Year Progress – Expected is (3.5 for PP children as compared to 3.2 for Non PP) Reading Writing Yr (No. of chn) PP Non PP Diff PP PP Non PP Diff Non PP Diff Y3 +2 +2.7 +1.4 +2 +2.7 -0.7 +2.6 -1.2 -0.7 5 Chn Y4 +2.9 +2.9 +3.2 +3.0 -0.1 +3.1 +3.1 -0.3 = 17 Chn Y5 +3.4 +4.1 -0.7 +3.2 +3.2 +3.2 +3.7 -0.5 = 14 Chn Y6 +4.3 +5 +4.7 -0.7 +3.2 +1.2 +3.8 +4.6 -0.8 16 Chn KS2 +3.2 +3.1 3.8 -0.6 3 +0.1 3 3.5 -0.5 52 Chn Whole School Reading Writing Maths +3.2 +3.7 +3.5 269 Children

